Ulasewicz Trial Post 12-25-76

NEW YORK—A juror in the tax fraud trial of Watergate "bagman" Anthony Ulasewicz says he and his fellow panelists voted to convict because they felt the defendant had no intention of paying his taxes until the Watergate hearings revealed his role.

Ulasewicz, a former New York City policeman, was convicted of failing to report on time the $41,000 he was paid for delivering over $200,000 to the convicted Watergate burglars.

He now faces up to six years in jail and a fine up to $10,000.

Juror Frank C. Pflaum told reporters he and the seven other men and four women felt Ulasewicz "had no adequate explanation why he didn't file." Pflaum said the jury felt that if the payment had not been disclosed during the Watergate hearings, Ulasewicz "would have kept the money and nobody would have been the wiser."